

1989 MAR 15 PM 2:11

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

FILED MAR 1 1989

I certify that the attached is a true and  
correct copy of HB 1701 MAR 1 1989  
was filed of record on \_\_\_\_\_  
and referred to the committee on:

Criminal Jurisprudence

Betty Murray

Chief Clerk of the House

By Ningise

H. B. No. 1701

A BILL TO BE ENTITLED

AN ACT

relating to the polygraph examination of a complainant of a violent offense.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS:

SECTION 1. Chapter 15, Code of Criminal Procedure, is amended by adding Article 15.051 to read as follows:

Art. 15.051. POLYGRAPH EXAMINATION OF COMPLAINANT PROHIBITED. A peace officer or attorney representing the state shall not request or require a polygraph examination of a person who charges or who seeks to charge in a complaint the commission of an offense under Title 5, Section 21.11, 22.011, 22.021 and Title 6, Section 25.02.

SECTION 2. This Act takes effect September 1, 1989

SECTION 3. The importance of this legislation and the crowded condition of the calendars in both houses create an emergency and an imperative public necessity that the constitutional rule requiring bills to be read on three several days in each house be suspended, and this rule is hereby suspended.

# HOUSE COMMITTEE REPORT

1st Printing

CS APR 18 PM 10:35

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

By Hinojosa

H.B. No. 1701

A BILL TO BE ENTITLED

AN ACT

relating to the polygraph examination of a complainant of a violent offense.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS:

SECTION 1. Chapter 15, Code of Criminal Procedure, is amended by adding Article 15.051 to read as follows:

Art. 15.051. POLYGRAPH EXAMINATION OF COMPLAINANT PROHIBITED. A peace officer or attorney representing the state shall not request or require a polygraph examination of a person who charges or who seeks to charge in a complaint the commission of an offense under Title 5, Section 21.11, 22.011, 22.021 and Title 6, Section 25.02.

SECTION 2. This Act takes effect September 1, 1989.

SECTION 3. The importance of this legislation and the crowded condition of the calendars in both houses create an emergency and an imperative public necessity that the constitutional rule requiring bills to be read on three several days in each house be suspended, and this rule is hereby suspended.

# COMMITTEE REPORT

The Honorable Gib Lewis  
Speaker of the House of Representatives

4/17/89  
(date)

Sir:

We, your COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JURISPRUDENCE,

to whom was referred HB 1701 have had the same under consideration and beg to report  
(measure)  
back with the recommendation that it

- ☒ do pass, without amendment.  
☐ do pass, with amendment(s).  
☐ do pass and be not printed; a Complete Committee Substitute is recommended in lieu of the original measure.

A fiscal note was requested. ☒ yes ☐ no An actuarial analysis was requested. ☐ yes ☒ no

An author's fiscal statement was requested. ☐ yes ☒ no

A criminal justice policy impact statement was prepared. ☐ yes ☒ no

A water development policy impact statement was requested. ☐ yes ☒ no

☒ The Committee recommends that this measure be sent to the Committee on Local and Consent Calendars for placement on the ☐ Local, ☒ Consent, or ☐ Resolutions Calendar.

This measure ☐ proposes new law. ☒ amends existing law.

House Sponsor of Senate Measure \_\_\_\_\_

The measure was reported from Committee by the following vote:

	AYE	NAY	PNV	ABSENT
Morales, Ch.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Polumbo, V.C.				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Alexander	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Hinojosa	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
McCollough	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Ovard	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Parker	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Richardson	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Warner	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			

Total 8  
0 aye  
0 nay  
0 present, not voting  
1 absent

Dan Morales  
CHAIRMAN

Deborah K. Wall  
COMMITTEE COORDINATOR

## BILL ANALYSIS

HB 1701

By: Hinojosa

### BACKGROUND

Currently, law enforcement agencies and attorneys may request, and in some instances, require polygraph examinations from a person who charges or seeks to charge in a complaint the commission of a violent offense.

### PURPOSE

HB 1701 forbids a law enforcement officer or attorney representing the state to request or require submission to a polygraph examination by a person who charges or seeks to charge in a complaint of indecency with a child, sexual assault, aggravated sexual assault and incest.

### RULEMAKING AUTHORITY

It is the opinion of the committee that this bill does not delegate any rulemaking authority to a state agency, officer, department or institution.

### SECTION-BY-SECTION ANALYSIS

#### SECTION 1.

Amends Chapter 15, Code of Criminal Procedure, by adding Article 15.051, "Polygraph Examination of Complainant." A peace officer or attorney representing the state shall not request or require a polygraph examination of a person who charges or who seeks to charge in a complaint the commission of an offense..." (references listed for indecency with a child, sexual assault, aggravated sexual assault and incest).

#### SECTION 2.

Effective September 1, 1989.

#### SECTION 3.

Emergency clause.

### Summary of Committee Action

Public notice was posted in accordance with House rules and a public hearing was held on April 17, 1989. Chairman Morales laid out HB 1701. Sponsor Hinojosa explained the bill. Testifying in favor of the bill were: Debbie Tucker, Tx. Council on Family Violence; Susan Gillis-Lemaire, accounting clerk; Desiree Thompson, Williamson County Crisis Center; Pam Parker Knight, Tx. Assoc. Against Sexual Assault; Travis L. Hass, Chief of Police/Mesquite; Rebecca Jasso, Ex. Dir./Baytown Women's Center; J. Richard Avena,

X2

Page 2

Ex. Dir./Tx. Civil Liberties Union; Lacey Marie Sloan, Hays Co. Women's Center; Mary Calk, Tx. Nat'l. Organization for Women. Testifying against the bill were: John C. Boston, TCDLA; Hector Reveles, Austin Police Dept.; Tom Krampitz, TDCAA. Testifying on the bill were: Kevin Chapman, Asst. DA/Dallas Co.; Gerry Morris, TCDLA; Thomas N. Shehan, Tx. Polic Chief Assoc.; Cecilia A. McKenzie, TDH.

On a motion by Rep. Parker, the full committee voted to report HB 1701 back to the full House with the recommendation that it do pass and be printed and sent to consent calendars on a vote of 8 ayes, and 0 naves, and 1 absent.

Committee on Criminal Jurisprudence

4/18/89

jfb

# LEGISLATIVE BUDGET BOARD

Austin, Texas

## FISCAL NOTE

March 22, 1989

TO: Honorable Dan Morales, Chair  
Committee on Criminal Jurisprudence  
House of Representatives  
Austin, Texas

In Re: House Bill No. 1701  
By: Hinojosa

FROM: Jim Oliver, Director

In response to your request for a Fiscal Note on House Bill No. 1701 (relating to the polygraph examination of a complainant of a violent offense) this office has determined the following:

No fiscal implication to the State or units of local government is anticipated.

Criminal Justice Policy Impact Statement: No change in the sanctions applicable to adults convicted of felony crimes is anticipated.

Source: LBB Staff: JO, JWH, AL, GMH, LV

**ADOPTED**

*PLS:*  
MAY 8 1989

*Betty Murray*  
Chief Clerk  
House of Representatives

AMENDMENT NO. 1

By *John*

- 1 ✓ Amend H.B. 1701 as follows:
- 2 (1) On Page 1, line 9, strike "request or".

ENGROSSED  
SECOND READING

By Hinojosa

H.B. No. 1701

A BILL TO BE ENTITLED

AN ACT

relating to the polygraph examination of a complainant of a violent offense.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS:

SECTION 1. Chapter 15, Code of Criminal Procedure, is amended by adding Article 15.051 to read as follows:

Art. 15.051. POLYGRAPH EXAMINATION OF COMPLAINANT PROHIBITED. A peace officer or attorney representing the state shall not require a polygraph examination of a person who charges or who seeks to charge in a complaint the commission of an offense under Sections 21.11, 22.011, 22.021, and 25.02, Penal Code.

SECTION 2. This Act takes effect September 1, 1989.

SECTION 3. The importance of this legislation and the crowded condition of the calendars in both houses create an emergency and an imperative public necessity that the constitutional rule requiring bills to be read on three several days in each house be suspended, and this rule is hereby suspended.



# HOUSE

CLERK'S OFFICE

# ENGROSSMENT

By Hinojosa

H.B. No. 1701

## A BILL TO BE ENTITLED

### AN ACT

relating to the polygraph examination of a complainant of a violent offense.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS:

SECTION 1. Chapter 15, Code of Criminal Procedure, is amended by adding Article 15.051 to read as follows:

Art. 15.051. POLYGRAPH EXAMINATION OF COMPLAINANT PROHIBITED. A peace officer or attorney representing the state shall not require a polygraph examination of a person who charges or who seeks to charge in a complaint the commission of an offense under Sections 21.11, 22.011, 22.021, and 25.02, Penal Code.

SECTION 2. This Act takes effect September 1, 1989.

SECTION 3. The importance of this legislation and the crowded condition of the calendars in both houses create an emergency and an imperative public necessity that the constitutional rule requiring bills to be read on three several days in each house be suspended, and this rule is hereby suspended.

# LEGISLATIVE BUDGET BOARD

Austin, Texas

## FISCAL NOTE

March 22, 1989

TO: Honorable Dan Morales, Chair  
Committee on Criminal Jurisprudence  
House of Representatives  
Austin, Texas

In Re: House Bill No. 1701  
By: Hinojosa

FROM: Jim Oliver, Director

In response to your request for a Fiscal Note on House Bill No. 1701 (relating to the polygraph examination of a complainant of a violent offense) this office has determined the following:

No fiscal implication to the State or units of local government is anticipated.

Criminal Justice Policy Impact Statement: No change in the sanctions applicable to adults convicted of felony crimes is anticipated.

Source: LBB Staff: JO, JWH, AL, GMH, LV

REQUEST FOR LOCAL & UNCONTESTED CALENDAR  
PLACEMENT

Hon. Bill Sims, Chairman  
Administration Committee

Sir:

Notice is hereby given that HB 1701, by: Hinojosa / ZAFFARINI,  
was heard by the Committee on CRIMINAL JUSTICE on 5/18, 1989  
and reported out with the recommendation that it be placed on the Local and Uncontested  
Calendar.

  
Clerk of the reporting committee

**IMPORTANT: A COPY OF THIS FORM MUST BE ATTACHED TO A PRINTED COPY OF THE BILL OR RESOLUTION, WHICH ALONG WITH 14 ADDITIONAL COPIES OF THE BILL OR RESOLUTION SHOULD BE DELIVERED TO THE OFFICE OF THE COMMITTEE ON ADMINISTRATION, ROOM 419. PLEASE CALL 3-0350 IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS. DEADLINE FOR SUBMITTING BILLS FOR THE LOCAL CALENDAR IS 5:00 P.M. FRIDAY.**

Paper clip the original to the bill; retain one copy for reporting committee files; deliver one copy to the bill sponsor.

# SENATE FAVORABLY AS SUBSTITUTED COMMITTEE REPORT

Lt. Governor William P. Hobby  
President of the Senate

19  
May 18, 1989  
(date)/(time)

Sir:

We, your Committee on CRIMINAL JUSTICE to which was referred  
HB 1701 by Kingjosa have on 5/18, 19 89, had the same  
(measure) (sponsor) (hearing date)

under consideration and I am instructed to report it back with the recommendation (s) that it

- ☒ do pass as substituted, and be printed  
☐ the caption remained the same as original measure  
☐ the caption changed with adoption of the substitute

☐ do pass as substituted, and be ordered not printed

☒ and is recommended for placement on the Local and Uncontested Bills Calendar.

A fiscal note was requested. ☒ yes ☐ no

A revised fiscal note was requested. ☒ yes ☐ no

An actuarial analysis was requested. ☐ yes ☐ no

Considered by subcommittee. ☐ yes ☐ no

Senate Sponsor of House Measure Zaffirini

The measure was reported from Committee by the following vote:

	YEA	NAY	PNV	ABSENT
McFarland, Chairman	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Brown, Vice Chairman				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Dickson	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Lyon	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Santiesteban				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Tejeda	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Washington	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
TOTAL VOTES	5			2

[Signature]  
COMMITTEE CLERK

[Signature]  
CHAIRMAN

1 By: Hinojosa (Senate Sponsor - Zaffirini) H.B. No. 1701  
2 (In the Senate - Received from the House May 10, 1989;  
3 May 11, 1989, read first time and referred to Committee on Criminal  
4 Justice; May 19, 1989, reported adversely, with favorable Committee  
5 Substitute by the following vote: Yeas 5, Nays 0; May 19, 1989,  
6 sent to printer.)

7 COMMITTEE VOTE

	Yea	Nay	PNV	Absent
8 McFarland	x			
9 Brown				x
10 Dickson	x			
11 Lyon	x			
12 Santiesteban				x
13 Tejada	x			
14 Washington	x			

16 COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE FOR H.B. No. 1701

By: Lyon

17 A BILL TO BE ENTITLED  
18 AN ACT

19 relating to the polygraph examination of a complainant of a violent  
20 offense.

21 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS:

22 SECTION 1. Chapter 15, Code of Criminal Procedure, is  
23 amended by adding Article 15.051 to read as follows:

24 Art. 15.051. POLYGRAPH EXAMINATION OF COMPLAINANT  
25 PROHIBITED. (a) A peace officer or attorney representing the  
26 state shall not require a polygraph examination of a person who  
27 charges or who seeks to charge in a complaint the commission of an  
28 offense under Sections 21.11, 22.011, 22.021, and 25.02, Penal  
29 Code.

30 (b) If a peace officer or attorney representing the state  
31 requests a polygraph examination of a person who charges or seeks  
32 to charge in a complaint the commission of an offense listed in  
33 Subsection (a) of this article, the peace officer or attorney must  
34 inform the complainant that the examination is not required.

35 (c) A complaint may not be dismissed solely because a  
36 complainant did not take a polygraph examination or because of the  
37 results of a polygraph examination taken by the complainant.

38 SECTION 2. (a) Except as provided by Section 3 of this Act,  
39 in this Act, "peace officer" means a person elected, appointed, or  
40 employed by a governmental entity as a peace officer under Article  
41 2.12, Code of Criminal Procedure, or other law.

42 (b) A peace officer or an employee of the Texas Department  
43 of Corrections may not be suspended, discharged, or subjected to  
44 any other form of employment discrimination because the person  
45 refuses to take a polygraph examination.

46 SECTION 3. Section 2 of this Act does not apply to a peace  
47 officer commissioned by the Texas Department of Public Safety.

48 SECTION 4. This Act takes effect September 1, 1989.

49 SECTION 5. The importance of this legislation and the  
50 crowded condition of the calendars in both houses create an  
51 emergency and an imperative public necessity that the  
52 constitutional rule requiring bills to be read on three several  
53 days in each house be suspended, and this rule is hereby suspended.

\* \* \* \* \*

Austin, Texas  
May 19, 1989

Hon. William P. Hobby  
President of the Senate

Sir:

We, your Committee on Criminal Justice to which was referred H.B. No. 1701, have had the same under consideration, and I am instructed to report it back to the Senate with the recommendation that it do not pass, but that the Committee Substitute adopted in lieu thereof do pass and be printed.

McFarland, Chairman

**LEGISLATIVE BUDGET BOARD**

Austin, Texas

**MAY 12 REC'D**

**FISCAL NOTE**

May 12, 1989

TO: Honorable Bob McFarland, Chairman  
Committee on Criminal Justice  
Senate Chamber  
Austin, Texas

In Re: House Bill No. 1701,  
as engrossed  
By: Hinojosa

FROM: Jim Oliver, Director

In response to your request for a Fiscal Note on House Bill No. 1701, as engrossed (relating to the polygraph examination of a complainant of a violent offense) this office has determined the following:

No fiscal implication to the State or units of local government is anticipated.

Source: LBB Staff: JO, JWH, AL, GMH, CKM

# LEGISLATIVE BUDGET BOARD

Austin, Texas

## FISCAL NOTE

March 22, 1989

TO: Honorable Dan Morales, Chair  
Committee on Criminal Jurisprudence  
House of Representatives  
Austin, Texas

In Re: House Bill No. 1701  
By: Hinojosa

FROM: Jim Oliver, Director

In response to your request for a Fiscal Note on House Bill No. 1701 (relating to the polygraph examination of a complainant of a violent offense) this office has determined the following:

No fiscal implication to the State or units of local government is anticipated.

Criminal Justice Policy Impact Statement: No change in the sanctions applicable to adults convicted of felony crimes is anticipated.

Source: LBB Staff: JO, JWH, AL, GMH, LV



ADOPTED

By Hinojosa

MAY 23 1989

H.B. No. 1701

Substitute the following for H.B. No. 1701:

By Hinojosa

C.S.H.B. No. 1701

A BILL TO BE ENTITLED

AN ACT

relating to the polygraph examination of a complainant of a violent offense.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS:

SECTION 1. Chapter 15, Code of Criminal Procedure, is amended by adding Article 15.051 to read as follows:

Art. 15.051. POLYGRAPH EXAMINATION OF COMPLAINANT PROHIBITED. (a) A peace officer or attorney representing the state shall not require a polygraph examination of a person who charges or who seeks to charge in a complaint the commission of an offense under Sections 21.11, 22.011, 22.021, and 25.02, Penal Code.

(b) If a peace officer or attorney representing the state requests a polygraph examination of a person who charges or seeks to charge in a complaint the commission of an offense listed in Subsection (a) of this article, the peace officer or attorney must inform the complainant that the examination is not required.

(c) A complaint may not be dismissed solely because a complainant did not take a polygraph examination or because of the results of a polygraph examination taken by the complainant.

SECTION 2. (a) Except as provided by Section 3 of this Act, in this Act, "peace officer" means a person elected, appointed, or employed by a governmental entity as a peace officer under Article 2.12, Code of Criminal Procedure, or

1 other law.

2 (b) A peace officer or an employee of the Texas Department  
of Corrections may not be suspended, discharged, or subjected  
to any other form of employment discrimination because the  
person refuses to take a polygraph examination.

SECTION 3. Section 2 of this Act does not apply to  
a peace officer commissioned by the Texas Department of  
Public Safety.

SECTION 4. This Act takes effect September 1, 1989.

SECTION 5. The importance of this legislation and the  
crowded condition of the calendars in both houses create an  
emergency and an imperative public necessity that the  
constitutional rule requiring bills to be read on three several  
days in each house be suspended, and this rule is hereby suspended.

# SENATE AMENDMENTS

2nd Printing

SENATE MAY 20 PM 3:29  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

By Hinojosa

H.B. No. 1701

A BILL TO BE ENTITLED

AN ACT

relating to the polygraph examination of a complainant of a violent offense.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS:

SECTION 1. Chapter 15, Code of Criminal Procedure, is amended by adding Article 15.051 to read as follows:

Art. 15.051. POLYGRAPH EXAMINATION OF COMPLAINANT PROHIBITED. A peace officer or attorney representing the state shall not require a polygraph examination of a person who charges or who seeks to charge in a complaint the commission of an offense under Sections 21.11, 22.011, 22.021, and 25.02, Penal Code.

SECTION 2. This Act takes effect September 1, 1989.

SECTION 3. The importance of this legislation and the crowded condition of the calendars in both houses create an emergency and an imperative public necessity that the constitutional rule requiring bills to be read on three several days in each house be suspended, and this rule is hereby suspended.

ADOPTED

By Hinojosa

MAY 23 1989

H.B. No. 1701

Substitute the following for H.B. No. 1701:

By lyon

*Lyons*

C.S.H.B. No. 1701

A BILL TO BE ENTITLED

AN ACT

relating to the polygraph examination of a complainant of a violent offense.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS:

SECTION 1. Chapter 15, Code of Criminal Procedure, is amended by adding Article 15.051 to read as follows:

Art. 15.051. POLYGRAPH EXAMINATION OF COMPLAINANT PROHIBITED. (a) A peace officer or attorney representing the state shall not require a polygraph examination of a person who charges or who seeks to charge in a complaint the commission of an offense under Sections 21.11, 22.011, 22.021, and 25.02, Penal Code.

(b) If a peace officer or attorney representing the state requests a polygraph examination of a person who charges or seeks to charge in a complaint the commission of an offense listed in Subsection (a) of this article, the peace officer or attorney must inform the complainant that the examination is not required.

(c) A complaint may not be dismissed solely because a complainant did not take a polygraph examination or because of the results of a polygraph examination taken by the complainant.

SECTION 2. (a) Except as provided by Section 3 of this Act, in this Act, "peace officer" means a person elected, appointed, or employed by a governmental entity as a peace officer under Article 2.12, Code of Criminal Procedure, or

1 other law.

2 (b) A peace officer or an employee of the Texas Department  
of Corrections may not be suspended, discharged, or subjected  
to any other form of employment discrimination because the  
person refuses to take a polygraph examination.

SECTION 3. Section 2 of this Act does not apply to  
a peace officer commissioned by the Texas Department of  
Public Safety.

SECTION 4. This Act takes effect September 1, 1989.

SECTION 5. The importance of this legislation and the  
crowded condition of the calendars in both houses create an  
emergency and an imperative public necessity that the  
constitutional rule requiring bills to be read on three several  
days in each house be suspended, and this rule is hereby suspended.

# LEGISLATIVE BUDGET BOARD

Austin, Texas

## FISCAL NOTE

May 20, 1989

TO: Honorable Bob McFarland, Chairman  
Committee on Criminal Justice  
Senate Chamber  
Austin, Texas

In Re: Senate Committee  
Substitute for  
House Bill No. 1701

FROM: Jim Oliver, Director

In response to your request for a Fiscal Note on Senate Committee Substitute for House Bill No. 1701 (relating to the polygraph examination of a complainant of a violent offense) this office has determined the following:

No fiscal implication to the State or units of local government is anticipated.

Source: LBB Staff: JO, JWH, AL, GMH, NH

**LEGISLATIVE BUDGET BOARD**

Austin, Texas

**MAY 12 REC'D**

**FISCAL NOTE**

May 12, 1989

TO: Honorable Bob McFarland, Chairman  
Committee on Criminal Justice  
Senate Chamber  
Austin, Texas

In Re: House Bill No. 1701,  
as engrossed  
By: Hinojosa

FROM: Jim Oliver, Director

In response to your request for a Fiscal Note on House Bill No. 1701, as engrossed (relating to the polygraph examination of a complainant of a violent offense) this office has determined the following:

No fiscal implication to the State or units of local government is anticipated.

Source: LBB Staff: JO, JWH, AL, GMH, CKM

# LEGISLATIVE BUDGET BOARD

Austin, Texas

## FISCAL NOTE

March 22, 1989

TO: Honorable Dan Morales, Chair  
Committee on Criminal Jurisprudence  
House of Representatives  
Austin, Texas

In Re: House Bill No. 1701  
By: Hinojosa

FROM: Jim Oliver, Director

In response to your request for a Fiscal Note on House Bill No. 1701 (relating to the polygraph examination of a complainant of a violent offense) this office has determined the following:

No fiscal implication to the State or units of local government is anticipated.

Criminal Justice Policy Impact Statement: No change in the sanctions applicable to adults convicted of felony crimes is anticipated.

Source: LBB Staff: JO, JWH, AL, GMH, LV



F

H.B. No. 1701

AN ACT

relating to the polygraph examination of a complainant of a violent offense, certain peace officers, and employees of the Texas Department of Corrections.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS:

SECTION 1. Chapter 15, Code of Criminal Procedure, is amended by adding Article 15.051 to read as follows:

Art. 15.051. POLYGRAPH EXAMINATION OF COMPLAINANT  
PROHIBITED. (a) A peace officer or attorney representing the  
state shall not require a polygraph examination of a person who  
charges or who seeks to charge in a complaint the commission of an  
offense under Sections 21.11, 22.011, 22.021, and 25.02, Penal  
Code.

(b) If a peace officer or attorney representing the state requests a polygraph examination of a person who charges or seeks to charge in a complaint the commission of an offense listed in Subsection (a) of this article, the peace officer or attorney must inform the complainant that the examination is not required.

(c) A complaint may not be dismissed solely because a complainant did not take a polygraph examination or because of the results of a polygraph examination taken by the complainant.

SECTION 2. (a) Except as provided by Section 3 of this Act, in this Act, "peace officer" means a person elected, appointed, or employed by a governmental entity as a peace officer under Article

1 2.12, Code of Criminal Procedure, or other law.

2 (b) A peace officer or an employee of the Texas Department  
3 of Corrections may not be suspended, discharged, or subjected to  
4 any other form of employment discrimination because the person  
5 refuses to take a polygraph examination.

6 SECTION 3. Section 2 of this Act does not apply to a peace  
7 officer commissioned by the Texas Department of Public Safety.

8 SECTION 4. This Act takes effect September 1, 1989.

9 SECTION 5. The importance of this legislation and the  
10 crowded condition of the calendars in both houses create an  
11 emergency and an imperative public necessity that the  
12 constitutional rule requiring bills to be read on three several  
13 days in each house be suspended, and this rule is hereby suspended.

H.B. No. 1701

---

President of the Senate

---

Speaker of the House

I certify that H.B. No. 1701 was passed by the House on May 9, 1989, by a non-record vote; and that the House concurred in Senate amendments to H.B. No. 1701 on May 24, 1989, by a non-record vote.

---

Chief Clerk of the House

I certify that H.B. No. 1701 was passed by the Senate, with amendments, on May 23, 1989, by the following vote: Yeas 31, Nays 0.

---

Secretary of the Senate

APPROVED: \_\_\_\_\_

Date

---

Governor

\_\_\_\_\_  
President of the Senate

\_\_\_\_\_  
Speaker of the House

I certify that H.B. No. 1701<sup>✓</sup> was passed by the House on  
(1)

May 9<sup>✓</sup>, 1989, by a non-record vote;  
(2)

and that the House concurred in Senate amendments to H.B. No. 1701<sup>✓</sup>  
on May 24<sup>✓</sup>, 1989, by a non-record vote.  
(3)

\_\_\_\_\_  
Chief Clerk of the House

\*\*\*\* Preparation: 'A;CT64;

I certify that H.B. No. 1701<sup>✓</sup> was passed by the Senate, with  
(1)

amendments, on May 23<sup>✓</sup>, 1989, by the  
(2)

following vote: Yeas 31<sup>✓</sup>, Nays 0<sup>✓</sup>  
(3) (4)

\_\_\_\_\_  
Secretary of the Senate

APPROVED:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

\_\_\_\_\_  
Governor

\*\*\*\* Preparation: 'A;CT14;

H. B. No.

1701

A BILL TO BE ENTITLED

AN ACT

relating to the polygraph examination of a complainant  
of a violent offense.

By

*Juan J. Hernandez*

MAY 9 1989

9. Read third time (amended); finally passed (failed) by (Non-Record Vote) (Record Vote) of \_\_\_\_\_ yeas, \_\_\_\_\_ nays, \_\_\_\_\_ present, not voting).

10. Caption ordered amended to conform to body of bill.

11. Motion to reconsider and table the vote by which H. B. \_\_\_\_\_ was finally passed prevailed (failed) by a (Non-Record Vote) (Record Vote of \_\_\_\_\_ yeas, \_\_\_\_\_ nays, and \_\_\_\_\_ present, not voting).

MAY 9 1989

12. Ordered Engrossed at 11:04am

MAY 9 1989

13. Engrossed.

MAY 9 1989

14. Returned to Chief Clerk at 12:51pm

MAY 10 1989

15. Sent to Senate.

*Betty Murray*  
Chief Clerk of the House

MAY 10 1989

16. Received from the House

MAY 11 1989

17. Read, referred to Committee on CRIMINAL JUSTICE

18. Reported favorably

MAY 19 1989

19. Reported adversely, with favorable Committee Substitute; Committee Substitute read first time.

20. Ordered not printed.

21. Regular order of business suspended by

(a viva voce vote.)

(\_\_\_\_\_ yeas, \_\_\_\_\_ nays.)

MAR 1 1989

1. Filed with the Chief Clerk.

MAR 15 1989

2. Read first time and Referred to Committee on

Criminal Jurisprudence

MAR 17 1989

3. Reported \_\_\_\_\_ favorably (as amended) (as substituted) and sent to Printer at 12:40 pm

APR 18 1989

APR 18 1989

4. Printed and distributed at 10:55pm

APR 19 1989

5. Sent to Committee on Calendars at 9:26am

MAY 8 1989

6. Read second time (amended); passed to third reading (failed) by (Non-Record Vote) (Record Vote of \_\_\_\_\_ yeas, \_\_\_\_\_ nays, \_\_\_\_\_ present, not voting).

7. Motion to reconsider and table the vote by which H.B. \_\_\_\_\_ was ordered engrossed prevailed (failed) by a (Non-Record Vote) (Record Vote of \_\_\_\_\_ yeas, \_\_\_\_\_ nays, and \_\_\_\_\_ present, not voting).

8. Constitutional Rule requiring bills to be read on three several days suspended (failed to suspend) by a four-fifths vote of \_\_\_\_\_ yeas, \_\_\_\_\_ nays, and \_\_\_\_\_ present, not voting.

APR 27 1989

Motion to postpone further consideration

of HB No. 1701 until Thurs

May 9 at 10am

prevailed by a non-record vote.

Motion to postpone further consideration

of HB No. 1 until Mon

May 8 at 2pm

prevailed by a non-record vote.

MAY 23 1989

22. To permit consideration, reading and passage, Senate and Constitutional Rules suspended by vote of \_\_\_\_\_ yeas, \_\_\_\_\_ nays.

23. Read second time Failed before Senate passed to third reading by: (a viva voce vote.) yeas, \_\_\_\_\_ nays.)

24. Caption ordered amended to conform to body of bill.

MAY 23 1989

25. Senate and Constitutional 3-Day Rules suspended by vote of 30 yeas, \_\_\_\_\_ nays to place bill on third reading and final passage.

MAY 23 1989

26. Read third time and passed by

(a viva voce vote.)  
71 yeas, 0 nays.)

OTHER ACTION:

OTHER ACTION:

Betty King  
Secretary of the Senate

May 23, 1989

27. Returned to the House.

MAY 23 1989

28. Received from the Senate (~~with amendments.~~)  
(as substituted.)

MAY 24 1989

29. House (Concurred) (~~Refused to Concur~~) in Senate (~~Amendment~~) by a (Non-Record Vote) (Record Vote of \_\_\_\_\_ yeas, \_\_\_\_\_ nays, \_\_\_\_\_ present, not voting).

30. Conference Committee Ordered.

MAY 24 1989

31. Conference Committee Report Adopted (Rejected) by a (Non-Record Vote) (Record Vote of \_\_\_\_\_ yeas, \_\_\_\_\_ nays, and \_\_\_\_\_ present, not voting).

32. Ordered Enrolled at 4:02 pm

89 MAY 23 PM 3: 29

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

89 MAY -9 PM 12: 51

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

89 MAY -8 PM 5: 36

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

89 APR 18 PM 10: 55

CS

10/1